

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/913,869	08/20/2001	William Michael Scheper	7442	5587_5	
27752	7590 10/02/2	93	EXAM	EXAMINER	
	CTER & GAMBLE	PETRUNCIO	PETRUNCIO, JOHN M		
	ILL TECHNICAL C	. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	ER HILL AVENUE	. 1751			
CINCINNA	TI, OH 45224		DATE MAILED: 10/02/200	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/913,869

Applicant(s)

Scheper et al

Examiner

John M. Petruncio

Art Unit 1751



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover she	et with t	he correspondence address			
	or Reply						
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.							
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the reriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause to ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) ! the application to becom	MONTHS from ABANDO	om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			·			
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This ac	tion is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1 and 10-46</u>			is/are pending in the application.			
	a) Of the above, claim(s)						
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1 and 10-46</u>			is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s)						
8) 🗌	Claims	are	subject 1	to restriction and/or election requirement.			
	tion Papers			·			
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) 🗆 accepted	lorb)□	objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held	d in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) 🗌 ap	pproved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office acti	on.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)💢	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35	U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) 💢	All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:						
•	$I.\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	ve been received	•				
2	$2.\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	ve been received	in Appl	ication No			
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority depolication from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17	7.2(a)).	·			
	the attached detailed Office action for a list of th						
14) 🗀	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic						
a) In the translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachme		, priority under 3	อ บ.ธ.ต	. 33 120 and/or 121.			
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	marv (PTO.	413) Paper No(s).			
	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			Application (PTO-152)			
3) 💢 info	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)4	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/913,869 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR
 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 3. Claims 1 and 10-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. A butoxycapped nonionic surfactant is critical or essential to the practice of the invention (specification page 2, line 14), but is not included in the claim(s) "less than or equal to two" is construed as reading on zero or the absense of the butoxy moiety See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/913,869 Page 3

١

Art Unit: 1751

Qualification of the capped nonionic surfactant in terms of an X/Y number greater than 1.00 appears to be at variance with the description of the calculation of this term at page 6, line 5 et seq of the specification ... shouldn't it be the ratio of hydrophilic to hydrophobic, rather than the converse as stated? Clarification and correction if necessary is required.

5. Claim 16 recites the limitation "PO" in various of the surfactants. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1 and 10-15 and 17-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over EP 0 661 043 to Tonomura et al /Kao Corporation which discloses a detergent composition comprising a capped nonionic surfactant construed as devoid of a butoxy endcapped moiety and having sufficient alkyl carbons and ethoxy moieties with an ethoxy endcap to yield an X/Y ratio ... a hydrophilic to hydrophobic hydrogen

Application/Control Number: 09/913,869

Art Unit: 1751

ratio as called for, One of ordinary skill in this art at the time of the invention would have found it

<

Page 4

obvious having the benefit of this references teachings to formulate a detergent composition

containing this surfactant and any customary adjunct ingredient as called for.. As construed, these

claims call for a conventional ethoxy-containing nonionic surfactant in combination with

conventional detergent components, and related obvious methods of use. No claim stands

allowed.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. .

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner Petruncio whose telephone number is (703) 308-9442. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Yogendra Gupta, can be reached on (703) 308-4708. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3599.

John M. Petruncio

September 29, 2003

Yogendra'n. Gupta

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700**